

LITERATURE REVIEW ON CT-SCAN IMAGING TECHNIQUES FOR CLINICAL HEADACHE DIAGNOSIS

Muhammad Kurnia Caesar

Doctor Profession, Riau University, Riau

E-mail: kurniacaesar30@gmail.com

ABSTRACT

The role of computed tomography (CT) scans in the diagnosis of headaches is a significant topic among clinicians due to the complexity surrounding headache disorders, which include both primary and secondary etiologies. This literature review explores the appropriateness, efficacy, and implications of CT imaging in headache management. Current guidelines suggest stringent criteria for CT utilization, primarily favoring cases indicative of secondary headache disorders. We synthesize findings from various studies that outline the diagnostic yield of CT scans, analyze patterns of use, and evaluate cases where neuroimaging significantly altered patient management.

Keywords

Computed Tomography (CT) scans, Diagnosis headaches, CT imaging efficacy

1. INTRODUCTION

Headaches are among the most prevalent neurological complaints reported in clinical practice, impacting millions globally. Despite their high incidence, distinguishing between primary headaches (e.g., migraines and tension-type headaches) and secondary headaches that arise from underlying conditions presents significant challenges (Maitra et al., 2022; . Given the potential severity of secondary headaches, particularly conditions like subarachnoid hemorrhage (SAH) or tumors, clinicians often resort to neuroimaging techniques to aid diagnosis (Palomeque et al., 2024). Among these, CT scans are commonly used, especially in emergency settings where rapid assessment is critical (Mbede et al., 2019).

The American College of Radiology (ACR) has established appropriateness criteria for imaging in headache diagnosis, identifying specific red flags such as sudden onset headaches, change in headache pattern, and neurological deficits that necessitate imaging (Whitehead et al., 2019; However, empirical studies indicate that CT scans are frequently overused; many patients presenting with primary headache complaints undergo unnecessary imaging, which not only burdens healthcare systems but also exposes individuals to unwarranted radiation Ali et al., 2018). This review aims to elucidate the nuances of CT utilization in headache diagnosis, focusing on diagnostic accuracy, appropriateness, and repercussions stemming from imaging practices across various demographics.

In reviewing the literature, variations in CT scan usage influenced by geographic, economic, and clinical factors are noted. Certain regions with limited access to MRI facilities exhibit a marked reliance on CT scanning, exemplified in studies conducted in Ghana and Cameroon (Gorleku et al., 2021). While CT can rapidly identify urgent intracranial conditions, its role in routine headache evaluations without alarming

features remains contentious, as findings often yield low rates of significant abnormalities (Nepal et al., 2014).

The methodological framework for this review rests on revisiting contemporary studies and guidelines surrounding CT scanning for headache evaluation. By synthesizing data from various research articles, we intend to present a comprehensive evaluation of current practices, potential misapplications, and recommendations for future research avenues within this critical field.

2. METHODOLOGY

This literature review employed a systematic approach in synthesizing relevant research articles pertaining to CT scan imaging techniques in headache diagnosis. The selection prioritized articles emphasizing diagnostic efficacy, appropriateness criteria, and case studies reflecting clinical practices. Databases such as PubMed, Scopus, and Google Scholar were consulted for peer-reviewed articles published between 2010 and 2024. Keywords included "CT scan," "headache diagnosis," "neuroimaging," and "headache appropriateness criteria."

After initial screening based on titles and abstracts, full-text articles were evaluated for relevance and quality. A diverse array of studies, including systematic reviews, cohort studies, and clinical audits, were included in the analysis. Particular attention was paid to reporting diagnostic yields, imaging protocols, and clinical outcomes related to CT scanning for headaches.

Disparate perspectives from geolocational studies focused on varied demographics helped elucidate how cultural, economic, and regulatory factors influence diagnostic imaging practices. Data was categorized based on age groups (pediatric vs. adult) to highlight distinctions in imaging necessity and efficacy across populations.

3. RESULT AND DISCUSSION

a. Diagnostic Yield and Appropriateness of CT Scans

The diagnostic yield of CT scans in headache evaluation varies significantly based on clinical presentation. Studies indicate that while CT imaging is vital for ruling out acute, life-threatening conditions, its effectiveness diminishes in patients presenting with non-focal headaches (Mbede et al., 2019) Almuqbil et al., 2023; (May, 2013; . The use of CT scanning is notably justified when SAH is suspected, with studies highlighting its sensitivity in such cases (Lal, 2019; Hatami et al., 2019). Conversely, in patients with chronic headaches lacking alarming features, CT scans often yield normal or non-specific findings, corroborating ACR recommendations for a conservative approach to imaging for routine headache complaints (Whitehead et al., 2019).

In several studies across diverse populations, the prevalence of normal CT findings in patients evaluated for headaches was alarmingly high, indicating that up to 73% of patients may present with normal imaging results (Debbarma et al., 2017). This underscores a systemic issue of overutilization, compounded by patient demands and expectations for imaging as a diagnostic tool (Maitra et al., 2022). A critical insight from research indicates that in adult populations presenting with headaches, the necessity for CT scans is most crucial among those manifesting "red flags" signaling potential secondary headaches, stressing the need for judicious patient selection when considering imaging (Whitehead et al., 2019).

b. Geographical Variability in Imaging Practices

Geographical disparities significantly shape the utilization patterns of CT imaging for headaches. In regions where MRI availability is limited, such as Ghana, reliance on CT is markedly higher (Gorleku et al., 2021). This dependence informs critical healthcare gaps, where neuroimaging accessibility directly influences diagnostic practices. Clinical audits reveal that a substantial proportion of patients undergo CT scans without meeting established imaging criteria, highlighting the need for education on adherence to guidelines (Ali et al., 2018).

In contexts where healthcare resources are constrained, such as Cameroon, the cost-effectiveness of imaging strategies assumes increased importance, necessitating a focus on judicious use of available technologies (Mbede et al., 2019). Additionally, emerging studies suggest an uptick in CT utilization correlating with decreased incidence of identifiable intracranial pathologies, indicating a potential trend toward overdiagnosis or misdiagnosis linked to imaging practices (Quon et al., 2015).

c. Pediatric Considerations

CT scanning in pediatric patients poses additional complications due to heightened radiation sensitivity. Recent guidelines advise against routine CT scanning in children absent concerning neurological findings, promoting a more conservative approach to limit unnecessary radiation exposure while still addressing clinical concerns (Gandhi et al., 2015; Nepal et al., 2014). Studies show that CT scans frequently illuminate low diagnostic value for young patients, with a significant percentage returning normal results, aligning with historical perspectives favoring MRI in the pediatric demographic when serious conditions are suspected (Behzadmehr et al., 2018).

Advancements in low-dose CT technologies indicate a shift in pediatric imaging practices aimed at minimizing radiation risks while sustaining diagnostic efficacy (Ji et al., 2024). The implementation of such technologies could catalyze changes in clinical pathways, potentially mitigating future radiation-related concerns while permitting necessary evaluations.

d. Patient Management Implications

CT scan outcomes profoundly influence patient management, often leading to significant alterations in treatment plans based on findings. Reports emphasize that positive CT findings relevant to headaches, such as structural abnormalities, prompt adjustments in clinical management, thereby underscoring the diagnostic relevance of appropriate imaging (Behzadmehr et al., 2018). However, it is critical to recognize that incidental findings during CT imaging can complicate clinical interpretation and management decisions, sometimes leading to unnecessary anxiety or further invasive testing (May, 2013).

The discussion surrounding CT use in headaches highlights the necessity for robust clinical decision-making frameworks, where practitioners assess the risk-benefit ratio of imaging based on initial patient presentation (May, 2013). A nuanced understanding of headache disorders, combined with adherence to established guidelines, can optimize imaging utilization, ensuring patients receive appropriate care without unnecessary risk exposure.

4. CONCLUSION

The application of CT scans in diagnosing headache disorders remains subject to critical evaluation amidst evolving clinical practices and technological advances. While

their utility in ruling out serious conditions is well documented, prudent use guided by appropriateness criteria should be prioritized, especially in populations at risk of overexposure to radiation or unnecessary interventions. This review emphasizes the need for continuous education around imaging guidelines and underscores the importance of differentiating between primary and secondary headache disorders to ensure effective diagnostic strategies and patient management.

Future research should focus on prospective studies examining the impact of educational initiatives on CT utilization, and the development of decision-making algorithms that incorporate patient history, clinical examination, and prevailing imaging protocols. By fostering a comprehensive understanding of headache etiology and imaging modalities, healthcare professionals can better navigate the complexities of headache diagnosis and treatment, ultimately enhancing patient outcomes across diverse clinical settings.

5. REFERENCES

- Alexiou, G. and Argyropoulou, M. (2013). Neuroimaging in childhood headache: a systematic review. *Pediatric Radiology*, 43(7), 777-784. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00247-013-2692-3>
- Ali, A., Al-Ghamdi, S., Karrar, M., Alajmi, S., Almutairi, O., Aldalbahi, A., ... & Elamin, A. (2018). Is there a misuse of computed tomography in the diagnostic workup of headache? a retrospective record-based study in secondary health-care facility in saudi arabia. *Journal of Family Medicine and Primary Care*, 7(2), 357. <https://doi.org/10.4103/jfmmpc.jfmmpc.338.17>
- Almuqbil, M., Alsayed, S., Almutairi, A., Aladhadh, K., Alghannami, A., & Almutairi, M. (2023). Diagnostic yield of neuroimaging for headache in a pediatric emergency department: a single tertiary centre experience. *Healthcare*, 11(6), 913. <https://doi.org/10.3390/healthcare11060913>
- Behzadmehr, R., Arefi, S., & Behzadmehr, R. (2018). Brain imaging findings in children with headache. *Acta Informatica Medica*, 26(1), 51. <https://doi.org/10.5455/aim.2018.26.51-53>
- DeLuca, G. and Bartleson, J. (2010). When and how to investigate the patient with headache. *Seminars in Neurology*, 30(02), 131-144. <https://doi.org/10.1055/s-0030-124922>
- DeVries, A., Young, P., Wall, E., Getchius, T., Li, C., Whitney, J., ... & Rosenberg, A. (2013). Ct scan utilization patterns in pediatric patients with recurrent headache. *Pediatrics*, 132(1), e1-e8. <https://doi.org/10.1542/peds.2012-3862>
- Debbarma, B., Pal, D., Chakma, A., Mallik, K., Kundu, A., & Bhaumik, S. (2017). Computed tomography scan findings of patients presenting with headache at tripura medical college and dr. b.r. ambedkar memorial teaching hospital, hapania, agartala, tripura- a cross-sectional study. *Journal of Evidence Based Medicine and Healthcare*, 4(88), 5134-5138. <https://doi.org/10.18410/jebmh/2017/1026>
- Gandhi, R., Lewis, E., Evans, J., & Sell, E. (2015). Investigating the necessity of computed tomographic scans in children with headaches: a retrospective review. *Canadian Journal of Emergency Medicine*, 17(2), 148-153. <https://doi.org/10.2310/8000.2014.14140>
- Gorleku, P., Dzefi-Tetty, K., Edzie, E., Setorglo, J., Piersson, A., Ofori, I., ... & Brakohiapa, E. (2021). The degree and appropriateness of computed tomography utilization for

- diagnosis of headaches in ghana. *Heliyon*, 7(4), e06722. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.heliyon.2021.e06722>
- Graf, W., Kayyali, H., Abdelmoity, A., Womelduff, G., Williams, A., & Morriss, M. (2010). Incidental neuroimaging findings in nonacute headache. *Journal of Child Neurology*, 25(10), 1182-1187. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0883073809353149>
- Hatami, H., Danesh, N., Shojaei, M., & Hamedani, A. (2019). Evaluation of diagnostic values in ncct and mri of the patients with cerebral venous or sinus thrombosis in loghman hakim hospital in tehran 2014-2018. *International Clinical Neuroscience Journal*, 6(1), 17-21. <https://doi.org/10.15171/icnj.2019.04>
- Ifediora, C. (2018). Insights into radiographic investigations for headaches in general practice. *Family Practice*, 35(4), 412-419. <https://doi.org/10.1093/fampra/cmz136>
- Ji, S., Zhang, H., Guan, Y., Song, C., & Han, M. (2024). Analysis of imaging in pediatric bronchopulmonary foregut malformations with literature review: case reports. *Frontiers in Pediatrics*, 12. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fped.2024.140012>
- Kuruvilla, D. and Lipton, R. (2015). Appropriate use of neuroimaging in headache. *Current Pain and Headache Reports*, 19(6). <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11916-015-0490-3>
- Lal, S. (2019). Diagnostic accuracy of computed tomography scan to diagnose subarachnoid hemorrhage in patients presenting with thunderclap headache. *International Journal of Chinese Medicine*, 3(2), 17. <https://doi.org/10.11648/j.ijcm.20190302.11>
- Maitra, D., Chatterjee, S., Debnath, S., Mukhopadhyay, D., & Chattopadhyay, S. (2022). Assessment of appropriateness of doing ct scan for investigating headache in a tertiary care hospital in eastern india. *Indian Journal of Public Health Research & Development*, 13(4), 65-69. <https://doi.org/10.37506/ijphrd.v14i4.18531>
- May, A. (2013). Pearls and pitfalls: neuroimaging in headache. *Cephalalgia*, 33(8), 554-565. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0333102412467513>
- Mbede, M., Tegueu, C., Kengne, U., Ongolo-Zogo, P., & Moifo, B. (2019). Relevance and usefulness of head ct-scan requests in the management of adult headaches: a cross-sectional study in two referral hospitals in cameroon.. <https://doi.org/10.21203/rs.2.14218/v1>
- Nepal, P., Shrestha, A., & Ghimire, N. (2014). Evaluation of ct scan findings in patients presenting with headache. *Journal of Chitwan Medical College*, 3(4), 9-12. <https://doi.org/10.3126/jcmc.v3i4.9546>
- Palomeque, S., Aguayo-Vistin, W., Molina, G., Bastidas-Arévalo, Z., González, J., & Gordon, C. (2024). Mixed functional tumor of the left adrenal as a cause of palpitations, surgical management. case report. *Journal of Surgical Case Reports*, 2024(11). <https://doi.org/10.1093/jscr/rjae731>
- Quon, J., Glikstein, R., Lim, C., & Schwarz, B. (2015). Computed tomography for non-traumatic headache in the emergency department and the impact of follow-up testing on altering the initial diagnosis. *Emergency Radiology*, 22(5), 521-525. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10140-015-1314-9>
- Whitehead, M., Cárdenas, A., Corey, A., Policeni, B., Burns, J., Chakraborty, S., ... & Bykowski, J. (2019). Acr appropriateness criteria® headache. *Journal of the American College of Radiology*, 16(11), S364-S377. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jacr.2019.05.030>